Creating a Risk Map for Loss due to Volcanic Eruptions

Thomas Bartos, Michele Firmo, Stefano Bruno, Ramses Mena

1st February 2019

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- In volcanic regions of the world there is a need for insurance against loss due to volcanic eruptions.
- 2 A difficulty is how to accurately price this insurance this comes from the difficulty in assessing the risk for a given location.
- Multiplicity of hazards: Lava flows, Lahars, Pyroclastic flows, Tephra etc.



- The aim is to create a map of a particular country, or region of a country, which shows the expected annual loss (or probable maximum loss) due to volcanic hazards at each geographic location.
- 2 Requires:
 - Underlying exposure map.
 - For each location, a probability distribution for the loss due to the eruption of each nearby volcano.

- For each volcano, a probability of eruption in 1 year.
- 3 'Nearby' will be taken to mean within 100km.
- 4 Population with be taken as the measure of exposure and fatalities will be taken as the measure of loss.

- Consider a region with population E_Y which is within range of N_i volcances. Let Y be a r.v. representing number of fatalities due to volcanic eruption. Then for a given loss $p \in [0, E_Y]$ we wish to compute the *excess loss rate* $\nu(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \mathbb{P}(Y > p|A_i)\mathbb{P}(A_i)$. Here A_i denotes the event that volcance *i* erupts.
- 2 Aim: To obtain values for P(Y > p|A_i) by fitting a parametric model for Y|A_i using the fatalities dataset. (Obtained from WTW)
- **3** $P(A_i)$ is the probability that volcano *i* erupts at least once annually. This could be estimated for each volcano using historical eruption data.

Suppose that the number of fatalities in a region with exposure E_Y due to volcano A_i follows a scaled Beta distribution: $Y|A_i \sim Beta_{E_Y}(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$. The scaling is so that the loss distribution has support $[0, E_Y]$. The excess loss rate is then:

$$\begin{split} \nu(\rho) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \mathbb{P}(Y > \rho | A_i) \mathbb{P}(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \left(\int_{\rho}^{E_Y} f_{Y,i}(\rho) \, dy \right) \mathbb{P}(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} \left(\int_{\rho}^{E_Y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_i + \beta_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)\Gamma(\beta_i)} \left(\frac{y}{E_Y} \right)^{\alpha_i - 1} \left(1 - \frac{y}{E_Y} \right)^{\beta_i - 1} \frac{1}{E_Y} \, dy \right) \mathbb{P}(A_i) \end{split}$$

where $p \ge 0$ is the level of loss.

The fatalities data was filtered for eruptions located in either Indonesia, the Philippines or Papua New Guinea. A maximum likelihood estimate $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ of the parameters of the fatality distribution of an arbitrary volcano in those countries was then obtained.

Fitted distributions:



Ideally, rather than using all the eruption data, a separate model would be created for eruptions corresponding to each Volcanic Explosivity Index (VEI).

э

The loss distribution at a given point will also depend on the distance from the volcano. A separate model could be created for each annulus of a given volcano for which exposure and fatalities is recorded: (The fatalities dataset contains population exposure at radii 5km, 10km, 30km and 100km).



Exposure map for concentric rings at each volcano (using fatalities dataset):



More comprehensive exposure map using kriging:



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ 三 → ◆ 三 → のへぐ

1 Current limitations:

- Fatalities are not an ideal proxy for loss.
- Insufficient data in current dataset to fit separate models for volcanoes of differing maximum VEI.
- More historical eruption data required to statistically estimate the annual probability of eruption.
- **2** Possible further work:
 - Considering more complex models for the loss e.g. mixtures of distributions.
 - Using spatial methods to assign risk to areas outside a 100km zone of a volcano.